Amendment dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. - 9. (Canceled)

10. (original) In a mobile terminal, a method of facilitating a mobile Internet Protocol (IP)

handoff from a source access router to one of a plurality of potential target access routers, the

method comprising the steps of:

(1) detecting entry into an area served by two or more of the plurality of potential target

access routers;

(2) transmitting an address of the source access router from the mobile terminal to one or

more of the potential target access routers; and

(3) performing an IP handoff operation from the source access router to one of the plurality

of potential target access routers on the basis of capability information received from one or more of

the plurality of potential target access routers.

11. (original) The method of claim 10, wherein step (3) is performed in the mobile terminal

by selecting a target access router on the basis of bandwidth capabilities required by the mobile

terminal.

12. (original) The method of claim 10, wherein step (3) is performed by the source access

router on the basis of capability information received by the source access router from the one or

more plurality of potential target access routers.

13. (original) The method of claim 10, wherein step (3) comprises the step of performing the

IP handoff to one of the plurality of potential target access routers that best matches capabilities

required by the mobile terminal.

Page 2 of 13

Amendment dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

14. (original) The method of claim 10, wherein step (3) is performed independently of any

voice-channel handoff operation that is also supported by the mobile terminal.

15. (original) A method of sharing capability information in a mobile communication

network for use in making handoff decisions among access routers, comprising the steps of:

(1) detecting a condition that a mobile terminal presently served by a first access router is

entering an area served by a second access router;

(2) transmitting a network address of the first access router from the mobile terminal to the

second access router; and

(3) exchanging capability information between the first access router and the second access

router, such that each access router learns capabilities of the other access router.

16. (original) The method of claim 15, further comprising the step of:

(4) using the exchanged capability information from step (3) to make a handoff decision for a

mobile IP terminal.

17. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein step (3) is performed by transmitting an IP

packet from the second access router to the first access router requesting capability information and

receiving an IP packet from the first access router containing capability information describing

capabilities of the first access router.

18. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein the capability information comprises a

bandwidth supported by one of the routers.

19. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein the capability information comprises dynamic

loading conditions associated with one of the routers.

20. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein the capability information comprises security

schemes supported by one of the routers.

Page 3 of 13

Amendment dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

21. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein the capability information comprises the

geographic location of one of the access routers.

22. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein the capability information comprises signal

transmission technologies supported by a base station associated with one of the access routers.

23. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein the capability information comprises a cost of

access using one of the access routers.

24. (original) The method of claim 15,

wherein step (1) comprises the step of detecting a condition that the mobile terminal is

entering an area served by at least two potential target access routers;

wherein step (3) comprises the step of exchanging information concerning both of the at least

two potential target access routers; and

further including the step of selecting one of at least two potential target access routers on the

basis of the capability information exchanged in step (3).

25. (original) The method of claim 15, further comprising the step of:

(4) purging capability information of the first access router if no handoffs from the first

access router have been detected within a predetermined time period.

26. (original) The method of claim 16, wherein step (4) comprises the step of selecting an

optimum target router on the basis of a predetermined policy.

27. (original) The method of claim 26, wherein the policy specifies that a lowest cost access

router should be selected.

28. (original) The method of claim 15, further comprising the step of:

(4) redirecting one or more mobile terminals away from a loaded access router to a less

loaded access router on the basis of capability information obtained as a result of step (3).

Page 4 of 13

Amendment dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

29. (original) The method of claim 15, wherein step (1) comprises the step of detecting that

the mobile terminal is entering an area served by at least two potential target access routers, and

further comprising the step of:

(4) selecting one of the two potential target access routers on the basis of a best match

between a capability dictated by an application program executing on the mobile terminal and the

capabilities of the two potential target access routers.

30. (original) A method of handing off a mobile terminal in a mobile IP network comprising

a plurality of access routers each associated with a service area, the method comprising the steps of:

(1) receiving a request to initiate a handoff operation for a mobile terminal in the mobile IP

network;

(2) finding an optimal access router to receive the handoff operation for the mobile terminal

by evaluating capability information for a plurality of access routers, wherein the capability

information was previously obtained by exchanging information among access routers on the basis

of information transmitted by one or more mobile terminals in the mobile IP network; and

(3) effecting the handoff operation to the optimal access router.

31. (original) The method of claim 30, wherein step (2) comprises the step of comparing

capability requirements associated with the mobile terminal in step (1) with dynamic capability

information associated with each of the plurality of access routers.

32. (original) The method of claim 30, wherein step (2) comprises the step of comparing

bandwidth requirements of the mobile terminal with bandwidth capabilities of each access router.

33. (original) The method of claim 30, wherein step (2) comprises the step of selecting an

access router on the basis of the cost of access.

34. (original) The method of claim 30, wherein step (2) comprises the step of selecting an

access router on the basis of a security scheme.

Page 5 of 13

Amendment dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

35. (Currently Amended) A mobile terminal adapted to participate in handoff decisions in a

mobile IP network comprising a plurality of access routers, comprising:

a transmit/receive circuit capable of transmitting and receiving digital data within the mobile

IP network; and

a mobile IP handoff processing circuit coupled to the transmit/receive circuit, wherein the

mobile IP handoff processing circuit transmits a network address of a first access router in the

mobile IP network to a second access router in the mobile IP network, and

a capabilities storage area reflecting capabilities needed by the mobile terminal, wherein the

mobile IP handoff processing circuit transmits one or more capabilities stored in the capabilities

storage area to an access router in the mobile IP network.

36. (Canceled)

37. (original) The mobile terminal of claim 35, wherein the mobile IP processing circuit

transmits a bandwidth requirement that is dependent on an application that is presently executing on

the mobile terminal.

38. (original) The mobile terminal of claim 35, further comprising a signal strength detector

coupled to the transmit/receive circuit and to the mobile IP handoff processing circuit, wherein the

mobile IP handoff processing circuit in response to detecting that signal strength has dropped below

a threshold, initiates a handoff process within the mobile IP network.

39. (original) An access router for use in a mobile IP network having a plurality of access

routers each of which routes IP packets among mobile terminals in a service area, comprising a

processor that executes computer-readable instructions for performing the steps of:

(1) receiving from a mobile terminal a network address of another access router in

communication with the mobile terminal;

(2) storing the network address into a capabilities map that defines capabilities of

geographically proximate access routers; and

Page 6 of 13

Amendment dated June 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

(3) using the stored network address to make a handoff decision concerning a second mobile

terminal in the mobile IP network.

40. (original) The access router of claim 39, wherein the processor further executes

computer-readable instructions that perform the step of:

(4) exchanging capabilities information with the another access router, such that the access

router and the another access router become aware of the others' capabilities on the basis of the

network address received from the mobile terminal.

41. (original) The access router of claim 40, wherein the processor executes computer-

readable instructions that exchange bandwidth capacity information between the access router and

the another access router, wherein the instructions in step (3) select an access router on the basis of

the bandwidth capacity information.

42. (original) The access router of claim 40, wherein the processor executes computer-

readable instructions that exchange dynamic loading information between the access router and the

another access router, wherein the instructions in step (3) select an access router on the basis of the

dynamic loading information.

43. (original) The access router of claim 40, wherein the processor executes computer-

readable instructions that make a handoff decision concerning a second mobile terminal in the

mobile IP network on the basis of a policy stored in the access router.

44. (original) The access router of claim 43, wherein the policy results in selection of an

access router on the basis of access cost.

45. (original) The access router of claim 40, wherein the processor executes computer-

readable instructions that make a handoff decision by comparing capability requirements received

from a second mobile terminal with capability information previously obtained in step (4).

Page 7 of 13

Appln. No.: 09/892,611 Amendment dated June 28, 2005 Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2005

46. – 51. (Canceled)